

Serial No. 10/627,816
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Docket No. CIT/K-0139A

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached drawings includes changes to Fig. 2B. This sheet, which includes Fig. 2B, replaces the original sheet including Fig. 2B.

Attachment: Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 10 and 12-19 are pending in the present application. Claim 13 has been amended, and claim 20 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter therein. In addition, the attached drawing includes changes to Fig. 2B. This sheet, which includes Fig. 2B, replaces the original sheet including Fig. 2B. In Fig. 2B, previously omitted elements 20 and 21 have been added.

Reconsideration in view of the above amendments and the following Remarks is respectfully requested.

Entry of the amended claims is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 since the amendments: (1) place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein; (2) do not raise any new issues requiring further search and/or consideration since the amendments amplify issues previously discussed throughout prosecution without incorporating additional subject matter; (3) satisfy a requirement of form asserted in the previous Office Action; and/or (4) place the application in better form for appeal (if necessary). Entry is thus requested.

The Office Action objects to the drawings as shown to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(5) because they include the reference character 20 in the description which is not shown in the drawings. Fig. 2B has been amended to include the reference character 20. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

The Office Action objects to claims 13 and 20 because of informalities. Claim 20 has been canceled, and thus this objection is moot. Claim 13 has been amended to clarify that the

buffer layer is formed on the first electrode in a region where the shield cover is located. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claim 20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,210,815 to Ooishi. Claim 20 has been canceled, and thus this rejection is now moot.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **René A. Vázquez, Esq.**, at the telephone number listed below.

Serial No. 10/627,816
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Docket No. CIT/K-0139A

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'René A. Vázquez', is written over the text of the signature block.

René A. Vázquez, Esq.
Registration No. 38,647

P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200
(703) 766-3701 DYK/RAV:knv
Date: JUNE 23, 2005

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610